## SCI论文中Results & Discussion 部分高大上句型来了

　　研究论文的结果和讨论部分可能包括以下 6 大类内容，附上常用的写作模板：

　　1.Findings:

　　● From the short review above, key findings emerge: \_\_

　　● e describe the results of \_\_, which show \_\_

　　● This suggests that \_\_

　　● We showed that \_\_

　　● Our findings on \_\_ at least hint that \_\_

　　● This is an important finding in the understanding of the \_\_

　　● The present study confirmed the findings about \_\_

　　● Another promising finding was that \_\_

　　● Our results demonstrated that \_\_

　　● This result highlights that little is known about the \_\_

　　● A further novel finding is that \_\_

　　● Together, the present findings confirm \_\_

　　● The implications of these findings are discussed in \_\_

　　● The results demonstrate two things. First, \_\_. Second, \_\_

　　● The results of the experiment found clear support for the \_\_

　　● This analysis found evidence for \_\_

　　● Planned comparisons revealed that \_\_

　　● Our results casts a new light on \_\_

　　● This section summarises the findings and contributions made.

　　● It performs well, giving good results.

　　● This gives clearly better results than \_\_

　　● The results confirm that this a good choice for \_\_

　　● From the results, it is clear that \_\_

　　● In this section, we will illustrate some experimental results.

　　● This delivers significantly better results due to \_\_

　　● The result now provides evidence to \_\_

　　● It leads to good results, even if the improvement is negligible.

　　● This yields increasingly good results on data.

　　● The result of this analysis is then compared with the \_\_

　　● The applicability of these new results are then tested on \_\_

　　● This is important to correctly interpret the results.

　　● The results are substantially better than \_\_

　　● The results lead to similar conclusion where \_\_

　　● Superior results are seen for \_\_

　　● From these results it is clear that \_\_

　　● Extensive results carried out show that this method improves \_\_

　　● We obtain good results with this simple method.

　　● However, even better results are achieved when using our algorithm.

　　● It is worth discussing these interesting facts revealed by the results of \_\_

　　● Overall, our method was the one that obtained the most robust results.

　　● Slightly superior results are achieved with our algorithm.

　　● The result is equal to or better than a result that is currently accepted.

　　2. Comparison with prior studies:

　　● The results demonstrated in this chapter match state of the art methods.

　　● Here we compare the results of the proposed method with those of the traditional methods.

　　● These results go beyond previous reports, showing that \_\_

　　● In line with previous studies \_\_

　　● This result ties well with previous studies wherein \_\_

　　● Contrary to the findings of \_\_ we did not find \_\_

　　● They have demonstrated that \_\_

　　● Others have shown that \_\_ improves \_\_

　　● By comparing the results from \_\_, we hope to determine \_\_

　　● However, in line with the ideas of \_\_, it can be concluded that \_\_

　　● When comparing our results to those of older studies, it must be pointed out that \_\_

　　● We have verified that using \_\_ produces similar results

　　● Overall these findings are in accordance with findings reported by \_\_

　　● Even though we did not replicate the previously reported \_\_, our results suggest that \_\_

　　● A similar conclusion was reached by \_\_

　　● However, when comparing our results to those of older studies, it must be pointed out \_\_

　　● This is consistent with what has been found in previous \_\_

　　● A similar pattern of results was obtained in \_\_

　　● The findings are directly in line with previous findings

　　● These basic findings are consistent with research showing that \_\_

　　● Other results were broadly in line with \_\_

　　3. Limitations of your work:

　　● Because of the lack of \_\_ we decided to not investigate \_\_

　　● One concern about the findings of \_\_ was that \_\_

　　● Because of this potential limitation, we treat \_\_

　　● The limitations of the present studies naturally include \_\_

　　● Regarding the limitations of \_\_, it could be argued that \_\_

　　● Another limitation of this \_\_

　　● This limitation is apparent in many \_\_

　　● Another limitation in \_\_ involves the issue of \_\_

　　● The main limitation is the lack of \_\_

　　● One limitation is found in this case.

　　● One limitation of these methods however is that they \_\_

　　● It presents some limitations such as \_\_

　　● Although widely accepted, it suffers from some limitations due to \_\_

　　● An apparent limitation of the method is \_\_

　　● There are several limitations to this approach.

　　● One limitation of our implementation is that it is \_\_

　　● A major source of limitation is due to \_\_

　　● The approach utilised suffers from the limitation that \_\_

　　● The limitations are becoming clear \_\_

　　● It suffers from the same limitations associated with a \_\_

　　4. Casual arguments:

　　● A popular explanation of \_\_ is that \_\_

　　● It is by now generally accepted that \_\_

　　● A popular explanation is that \_\_

　　● As it is not generally agreed that \_\_

　　● These are very small and difficult to observe.

　　● It is important to highlight the fact that \_\_

　　● It is notable that \_\_

　　● An important question associated with \_\_ is \_\_

　　● This did not impair the \_\_

　　● This is important because there is \_\_

　　● This implies that \_\_ is associated with \_\_

　　● This is indicative for lack of \_\_

　　● This will not be biased by \_\_

　　● There were also some important differences in \_\_

　　● It is interesting to note that, \_\_

　　● It is unlikely that \_\_

　　● This may alter or improve aspects of \_\_

　　● In contrast, this makes it possible to \_\_

　　● This is particularly important when investigating \_\_

　　● This has been used to successfully account for \_\_

　　● This introduces a possible confound in \_\_

　　● This was included to verify that \_\_

　　5. Speculations:

　　● However, we acknowledge that there are considerable discussions among researchers as to \_\_

　　● We speculate that this might be due to \_\_

　　● There are reasons to doubt this explanation of \_\_

　　● It remains unclear to which degree \_\_ are attributed to \_\_

　　● However, \_\_ does seem to improve \_\_

　　● This does seem to depend on \_\_

　　● It is important to note, that the present evidence relies on \_\_

　　● The results show that \_\_ does not seem to impact the \_\_

　　● However, the extent to which it is possible to \_\_ is unknown

　　● Alternatively, it could simply mean that \_\_

　　● It is difficult to explain such results within the context of \_\_

　　● It is unclear whether this is a suitable for \_\_

　　● This appears to be a case of \_\_

　　● From this standpoint, \_\_ can be considered as \_\_

　　● To date, \_\_remain unknown

　　● Under certain assumptions, this can be construed as \_\_

　　● Because of this potential limitation, we treat \_\_

　　● In addition, several questions remain unanswered.

　　● At this stage of understanding, we believe\_\_

　　● Therefore, it remains unclear whether \_\_

　　● This may explain why \_\_

　　6. Deductive Arguments:

　　● A difference between these \_\_ can only be attributable to \_\_

　　● Nonetheless, we believe that it is well justified to \_\_

　　● This may raise concerns about \_\_ which can be addressed by \_\_

　　● As discussed, this is due to the fact that \_\_

　　● Results demonstrate that this is not necessarily true.

　　● These findings support the notion that \_\_ is not influenced by \_\_

　　● This may be the reason why we did not find \_\_

　　● In order to test whether this is equivalent across \_\_, we \_\_

　　● Therefore, \_\_ can be considered to be equivalent for \_\_